UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,762	03/22/2004	Duk San Kim	113750-2007US	2436
. 8933 DUANE MORI	7590 07/13/200 RIS. LLP	EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTME	ENT		WENDELL, ANDREW	
30 SOUTH 17T PHILADELPH	H STREET IA, PA 19103-4196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/806,762	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Wendell	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-8, 10-19, and 21-25 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorsuch (US Pat# 6,526,034) in view of Pedersen et al. (US Pat# 7,089,031).

Regarding claim 1, Gorsuch's dual mode subscriber unit for short range, high rate and long range, lower rate data communications teaches a wireless gateway 101 (Fig. 6), comprising a local network interface using local network interface protocol 230 and 240 (Fig. 6 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64); a wireless interface 130 and 140 (Fig. 6 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64) providing access to public network interface protocol; a controller connected to the local network interface and to the wireless interface, the controller operating to detect a public network interface protocol currently in use from the public network interface protocol accessible to the wireless interface (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64); and one or more service interfaces connected to the local network interface and to the wireless interface (Fig. 6 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64); wherein each service interface provides automatic data conversion between the local network interface protocol and the detected public network interface protocol (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64). Gorsuch fails to teach multiple public network interface protocols.

Pedersen teaches a wireless interface 62 (Fig. 3) providing access to multiple public network interface protocols (Col. 3 line 64-Col. 4 line 61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate multiple public network interface protocols as taught by Pedersen into Gorsuch's dual mode subscriber

Application/Control Number: 10/806,762

Art Unit: 2618

unit for short range, high rate and long range, lower rate data communications in order to maximize resources and have simple functionality (Col. 1 line 59-Col. 2 line 11).

Regarding claim 2, the combination including Gorsuch teaches the controller selects one service interface for communication between a first service corresponding to data received through the local network interface and a second service corresponding to data received through the wireless interface, and the selected service interface provides data conversion between the first service and the second service (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 3, the combination including Gorsuch teaches the selected service interface provides transcoding of data between the first service and the second service (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 4, the combination including Gorsuch teaches the selected service interface provides protocol conversion between the first service and the second service (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 5, the combination including Pedersen teaches wherein the controller provides routing of data between the local network interface and the wireless interface (Col. 3 line 64-Col. 4 line 61).

Regarding claim 6, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the local network interface supports an Ethernet connection (Col. 9 lines 29-52).

Regarding claim 7, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the wireless interface supports a CDMA connection 130 and 140 (Fig. 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/806,762

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 8, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the wireless interface supports a Wi-Fi connection 207, 230, and 240 (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 10, method claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as apparatus claim 1 since the recited elements would perform the claimed steps.

Regarding claim 11, the combination including Gorsuch teaches establishing a connection for communication between the first interface 230 and 240 (Fig. 6) and the second interface 130 and 140 (Fig. 6); and sending data across the established connection (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 12, the combination including Gorsuch teaches transcoding data to be sent through the connection using the service interface (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 13, the combination including Gorsuch teaches performing protocol conversion for data to be sent through the connection using the service interface (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 14, the combination including Gorsuch teaches the communication service and the network service are not directly compatible (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64, i.e. CDMA, 802.11, etc. are not compatible).

Regarding claim 15, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein: the first interface is a LAN interface supporting a LAN connection 230 and 240 (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 16, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the LAN interface supports an Ethernet connection (Col. 9 lines 29-52).

Regarding claim 17, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the second interface is a wireless interface supporting a wireless connection 130 and 140 (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 18, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the wireless interface supports a CDMA connection 130 and 140 (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 19, the combination including Gorsuch teaches wherein the wireless interface supports a Wi-Fi connection 230 and 240 (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 21, Gorsuch teaches means for receiving a session request to open a network session from a client through a first interface of a gateway using a local network interface protocol (i.e. at office), wherein the session request indicates a communication service (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64); means for selecting a network service that matches the communication service (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64); and means for sending a service request to a network server through a second interface (140 or 240 of Fig. 6), which provides access to a public network interface protocol (i.e. outside of the office) wherein the network server supports the selected network service (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64); a service interface corresponding to the selected network service that provides automatic data conversion between the selected network service using the public network interface protocol and the communication service using the local network interface protocol (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64). Gorsuch fails to teach multiple public network interface protocols.

Pedersen teaches a wireless interface 62 (Fig. 3) providing access to multiple public network interface protocols (Col. 3 line 64-Col. 4 line 61).

Regarding claim 22, the combination including Gorsuch teaches means for establishing a connection for communication between the first interface 230 and 240 (Fig. 6) and the second interface 130 and 140 (Fig. 6); and means for sending data across the established connection (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 23, the combination including Gorsuch teaches means for transcoding data to be sent through the connection using the service interface (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 24, the combination including Gorsuch teaches means for performing protocol conversion for data to be sent through the connection using the service interface (Col. 2 line 55-Col. 3 line 65 and Col. 9 line 29-Col. 10 line 64).

Regarding claim 25, computer program claim 25 is rejected for the same reasons as system claim 21 since the recited elements would perform the claimed steps.

4. Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorsuch (US Pat# 6,526,034) in view of Pedersen et al. (US Pat# 7,089,031) and further view of Lee et al. (2002/0181416).

Regarding claim 9, Gorsuch and Pedersen teach the limitations in claim 1.

Gorsuch and Pedersen fail to teach a Bluetooth connection.

Lee teaches wherein the wireless interface supports a Bluetooth connection (Section 0005 and 0016-0017).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a Bluetooth connection as taught by Lee into multiple public network interface protocols as taught by Pedersen into Gorsuch's dual mode subscriber unit for short range, high rate and long range, lower rate data communications in order to provide an improved network system capable of sending and receiving various wireless network signals (Section 0009).

Regarding claim 20, Lee further teaches wherein the wireless interface supports a Bluetooth connection (Section 0005 and 0016-0017).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant Remark	Examiner's Response
"However, Applicants disagree with these	Examiner has already responded to this
statements. It does not appear that	argument through an advisory action on
Gorsuch discloses "a controller operating	4/25/2007.
to detect a public network interface	
protocol currently in use from the public	
network interface protocol[s] accessible to	
the wireless interface" within the cited	
passages."	

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/806,762 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Wendell whose telephone number is 571-272-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,762 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Wendell

Examiner Art Unit 2618

6/25/2007

NAY MAUNG

PATENT EXAMINER